



Law Society
of Scotland

Taxation (Cross-border Trade) Bill

Law Society of Scotland briefing for second reading,
committee and report stage

August 2018



Introduction

The Law Society of Scotland is the professional body for over 11,000 Scottish solicitors. With our overarching objective of leading legal excellence, we strive to excel and to be a world-class professional body, understanding and serving the needs of our members and the public. We set and uphold standards to ensure the provision of excellent legal services and ensure the public can have confidence in Scotland's solicitor profession.

We have a statutory duty to work in the public interest, a duty which we are strongly committed to achieving through our work to promote a strong, varied and effective solicitor profession working in the interests of the public and protecting and promoting the rule of law. We seek to influence the creation of a fairer and more just society through our active engagement with the Scottish and United Kingdom Governments, Parliaments, wider stakeholders and our membership.

The Society welcomes the opportunity to consider and respond to the Taxation (Cross-border) Trade Bill¹ and has the following comments to put forward for consideration. We also note the link between this Bill and the Trade Bill,² which we are also considering.³

General Remarks

The UK is set to withdraw from the customs union when it withdraws from the EU and will therefore need to reinstate rules governing an independent customs regime. We therefore recognise the necessity for this Bill, which will introduce this new system and allow stakeholders to begin to familiarise themselves with relevant changes.

The Taxation (Cross-border Trade) Bill relates to UK trade generally. However, we note that the framework provided for would tie in with the Facilitated Customs Arrangement set out in the White Paper on the Future Relationship between the United Kingdom and the European Union.⁴

¹ <https://services.parliament.uk/bills/2017-19/taxationcrossbordertrade.html>

² <https://services.parliament.uk/bills/2017-19/trade.html>

³ <https://www.lawscot.org.uk/research-and-policy/influencing-the-law-and-policy/our-input-to-parliamentary-bills/bills-201718/trade-bill-2017-19/>

⁴

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/725288/The_future_relationship_between_the_United_Kingdom_and_the_European_Union.pdf

Comments on the draft bill

Scope of delegated powers and duty to consult

One of the recurring themes in our comments below is the scope of delegated powers, echoing concerns regarding the use of Henry VIII powers discussed in the context of the European Union (Withdrawal) Bill.⁵ The links to the importance of ensuring that the Treasury or Secretary of State, as the case may be, is obliged to consult stakeholders in the process of setting regulations to establish the new customs regime.

Clause 8 – The customs tariff

We consider that the Treasury should be obliged to consult appropriate stakeholders in the process of formulation regulations to establish and maintain the customs tariff.

Furthermore, the power under clause 8(1)(a) to classify goods “according to their nature, origin **or any other factor**” is a very broad one. At the very least, this should be limited to “any other relevant factor” but it would be preferable to limit the scope of this provision by giving an indication of the types of factor which might be appropriate in this context.

We support the duty placed on the Treasury at clause 8(5) to have regard to the list of interests set out in (5)(a)-(e). In particular, we welcome the Government’s amendment under clause 8(5) to include the interests of producers. However, we consider that the public interest generally should also be considered.

Clause 9 – Preferential rates: arrangements with countries or territories outside UK

Under clause 9(1), the Treasury should be required to make regulations, following consultation. Once the UK has agreed to be bound by an international Treaty, there ought to be an obligation on the Treasury to ensure that this can be put into effect. Accordingly, the clause should be amended to state that “the Treasury **must** make regulations **following consultation with relevant stakeholders** to give effect to the provision...”

Clause 10 Preferential rates given unilaterally

In our response⁶ to the consultation on the Future of UK Trade Policy, we supported the continuation of unilateral trade preferences for developing countries to facilitate the reduction of poverty in other parts of the world through trade.

⁵ See our response to the Government’s White Paper in May 2017 - <https://www.lawscot.org.uk/media/9969/grb-white-paper-response.pdf> at p4

⁶ https://www.lawscot.org.uk/media/359078/lss-response-to-dit_preparing-for-future-uk-trade-policy_november-2017.pdf

However, the Secretary of State should consult on the regulations to establish the trade preference scheme.

Under clause 10(3)(b) it should also be possible for the regulations to provide for restoration or reinstatement of the nil rate band and the clause should therefore be amended to provide for this.

Clause 12 – Tariff suspension

The Treasury should be obliged to consult if regulations are to be made to suspend tariffs on specified goods. Furthermore, it would seem sensible to limit those who can make requests under clause 12(2)(a) to persons with a relevant interest. The Bill should be amended accordingly.

Clause 14 – Increases in imports or changes in price of agricultural goods

The Treasury should be obliged to consult if it is introducing such regulations.

Clause 15 – International disputes etc

Clause 15(1)(b) makes reference to international law but it is not clear what it meant by this. It would be helpful were the Minister to explain precisely the circumstances in which the Government would need to deal with a dispute by varying the import duty.

Clause 21 – Customs agents

Clause 21 makes provision for appointment of Customs agents and in addition empowers the HMRC Commissioners to make further provision regarding Customs agents through regulations, for the purposes of import duty. We would welcome further information as to the criteria for appointment referred to in clause 21(8)(b). We consider that regulation of Customs agents to ensure they meet certain standards could provide useful protection to principals, particularly where the principal is an SME or consumer.

Clause 22 – Authorised Economic Operators

As set out in the Customs Bill White Paper, we support the Government's intention to negotiate mutual recognition of Authorised Economic Operators with the EU. We would also support ensuring continuation of mutual recognition of AEO programmes which the EU has agreed with other countries: Norway, Switzerland, Japan, Andorra, the US and China.⁷

⁷ https://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs/general-information-customs/customs-security/authorised-economic-operator-aeo/authorised-economic-operator-aeo_en#what_is

Clause – 25 Disclosure of information

Clause 25(1) empowers “HMRC (or anyone acting on their behalf)” to disclose information relating to import duty for customs duty purposes. However, it is not clear who might be acting on their behalf, nor to whom the information might be disclosed.

Furthermore, clause 25(7) should clarify that nothing in the section authorizes disclosure of information or production of documents which are subject to legal professional privilege.

Clause 28 – Requirement to have regard to international obligations

Clause 28 imposes a requirement to have regard to international obligations. While we support the obligation, it is not clear what “other” public bodies are envisaged under 28(1)(e).

Clause 39 – Charge to export duty

At clause 39(4) we support the duty on the Treasury to have regard to the list of interests and welcome recognition of the interests of producers. However, as noted in relation to clause 8, we consider that the public interest generally should also be considered. Clause 42 – EU law relating to VAT.

Clause 42 on EU law relating to VAT appears to be an explanation of provisions of the European Union (Withdrawal Bill). To the extent that the intention is to deal with the effect of withdrawal on EU law relating to VAT, this should form part of the EU (Withdrawal) Bill. Subsections (3) to (5) are mere explanations: they should be deleted. The same applies in the case of clause 47.

Clause 51 – Power to make provision in relation to VAT or duties of customs or excise

We believe that the regulation-making power should be exercised “...as the appropriate Minister considers **necessary**”. The current provision which permits the Minister to make regulations as the Minister considers “appropriate” is vague and subjective. A necessity test is clearer and more objective.

For further information, please contact:

Carolyn Thurston Smith
Policy Team

Law Society of Scotland

DD: 0131 476 8205

carolynthurstonsmith@lawscot.org.uk