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Introduction

The Law Society of Scotland is the professional body for over 11,000 Scottish solicitors. With our overarching objective of leading legal excellence, we strive to excel and to be a world-class professional body, understanding and serving the needs of our members and the public. We set and uphold standards to ensure the provision of excellent legal services and ensure the public can have confidence in Scotland’s solicitor profession.

We have a statutory duty to work in the public interest, a duty which we are strongly committed to achieving through our work to promote a strong, varied and effective solicitor profession working in the interests of the public and protecting and promoting the rule of law. We seek to influence the creation of a fairer and more just society through our active engagement with the Scottish and United Kingdom Governments, Parliaments, wider stakeholders and our membership.

The Society’s Constitutional Law Sub-Committee welcomes the opportunity to consider and respond to the House of Lords European Union Committee Brexit: Devolution Inquiry. The Sub-committee has the following comments to put forward for consideration.

Introductory Comments

The UK’s exit from the EU is arguably the most significant constitutional development to affect the UK since 1945. Other changes including accession to the European Economic Community in 1972, the development of devolution to Scotland, Northern Ireland and Wales in the 1990’s, the adoption of the Human Rights Act in 1998 and the creation of the Supreme Court in 2005 were important constitutional changes most of which have affected the lives of many millions of people living across the UK. However the UK’s exit from the EU has so many significant aspects including economic, financial, legal, social, and cultural, which will affect every person living in the British Isles and has as much potential to affect many people living in the EU in some ways which are known and understood and in other ways which are currently unpredictable. The impact of the change however will also have depth, breadth and far reaching effect for the immediate future and for several years to come. Specifically in connection with legal matters changes will require to be carefully thought through. As Bernard Jenkin MP, the Chairman of Public Administration and Constitutional Affairs Committee stated in his note to the Cabinet Office on “Leaving the EU and the Machinery of Government”, this is a “Whole of Government project”. We have analysed what we perceive to be the most significant public interest issues arising from the UK’s exit from the EU and also the most significant issues confronting Scotland’s solicitors and their clients. These are detailed later in this paper.

The Whole-of-Government concept is important to recognise in terms of the negotiations with the EU because of the breadth, depth and scope of EU Law as it applies throughout the UK. In this context “Whole of Government” should be interpreted as “Whole of Governance” to include not only the UK Government and Whitehall Ministries but also the Scottish Government, the Northern Ireland Executive and the Welsh Government.
This would require a revision of the October 2013 Memorandum of Understanding and Supplementary Agreements between the Government, Scottish Ministers, Welsh Ministers and the Northern Ireland Executive Committee. This revision should take into account the extraordinary circumstances which apply because of the UK’s exit from the EU and establish structures which may be necessary in addition to the joint Ministerial Committee for European negotiations (JMC(EN)) to help achieve the best outcome for the UK and its constituent nations and regions. In particular Supplementary Agreement B which contains the “Concordat on Coordination of European Union Policy issues” with Sections B1 relating to Scotland, B2 to Wales, B3 to Northern Ireland and B4 providing a common annex will need revision. Relevant considerations are also contained in the Concordat on International Relations, Section D of the Memorandum of Understanding and its relevant Sections for Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland and common annex.

It is crucially important that communications between UK Ministers and the devolved administrators are as transparent as possible. Whitehall departments must be fully appraised of the considerations which are of importance to the Devolved Administrations and fully cooperative with the devolved administrations, the Scottish Parliament and the Welsh and Northern Ireland Assemblies.

The terms of the Withdrawal Agreement which follows under the Article 50 procedure and the terms of any future relationship between the UK and EU are yet to be determined. Similarly the terms of the Great Repeal bill and the associated legislation are unknown. Accordingly there is a significant amount of speculation about what the future relationships between the UK and the EU and the intra-UK relationships will look like.

That having been said we have submitted to Government a list of suggested priorities which we believe the UK should adopt in the forthcoming negotiations.

Both prior and subsequent to the Referendum the Society conducted polls of its members and has developed the important messages from our dual statutory function to act in both public and membership interests. The following issues arise from that analysis and membership survey:-

**Public Interest Issues**

- Ensuring stability in the law.
- Maintaining freedom, security and justice.
- Maintaining recognition and enforcement of citizens’ rights including the rights of parties with pending cases before the Court of Justice of the EU.
- Promoting immigration, residence, citizenship and employment rights of EU Nationals resident in the UK.
- Taking account of the interest of the devolved administrations and Scots Law in the exit negotiations.
Membership Issues

- Promoting continued professional recognition and continued rights of audience in the EU.
- Protecting legal professional privilege for the clients of Scottish Lawyers working in the EU or advising on EU Law.

The Society’s Proposals for the UK Government’s Negotiation Priorities on Leaving the EU

We have now had the chance to review the political landscape in which the next steps will unfold. The Prime Minister has indicated that she will notify the European Council of the decision to withdraw the UK from the EU in March 2017. Accordingly the Society prepared these proposals to inform the UK Government about the legal issues we consider to be most pressing for the negotiation process.

Public Interest Issues

Ensuring Stability in the Law

The UK Government’s policy objective should be to retain existing EU law at point of exit and then repeal or amend in the post exit period when there is more time for consultation and proper scrutiny by the UK Parliament and the Scottish Parliament, the Welsh and Northern Ireland Assemblies.

We support the UK Government’s decision to maintain consistency and stability in the law which it has made clear in the White Paper *The United Kingdom’s Exit from and new Partnership with the European Union*.

The need to maintain stability in the law, repeal legislation and prepare new legislation to fill in gaps arising from leaving the EU will comprise a significant part of domestic legislation which is passed at or following withdrawal for some years to come.

The bill which will repeal the European Communities Act 1972 should contain a clause which preserves and continues existing EU Law whether derived from direct or indirect effect provisions. Laws with direct effect (Treaties and Regulations) will cease to apply once the withdrawal agreement is in place, the UK is no longer a member of the EU and the European Communities Act 1972 has been repealed. However it would be inappropriate to include in any new law the wholesale repeal of direct effect provisions without making some alternative arrangements which might include separate legislation on areas of law which will require an element of reciprocity with EU Member States. These arrangements would ensure clarity and stability in the law and prevent legal uncertainty. Similarly EU law with indirect effect (Directives) has already been transposed into domestic legislation through either primary or secondary legislation by the UK Parliament or by the Scottish Parliament. That law will continue to be part of the UK and Scots Law until and unless it is specifically repealed. Many statutory instruments deriving from EU Directives have
been enacted under Section 2 of the 1972 Act and so would be repealed once the Act is repealed unless explicitly retained.

International Trade Law creates the basis for UK import and export activity which has a direct impact on economic and commercial growth and development. This affects everyone and therefore it is important that new trade agreements are constructed in line with existing standards of trade law and put in place without undue delay to minimise disruption to the economy.

In order to reassure and create stability for businesses, consumers and citizens, it is vitally important that effective transitional arrangements are in place to ensure that disruption to existing commercial and personal legal arrangements are minimised.

**Maintaining Freedom, Security and Justice**

The UK should seek as part of the Withdrawal Agreement to maintain the existing EU Freedom, Security and Justice Legislation, including the European Arrest Warrant, access to EU databases, information exchange systems, agencies and cross-border co-operation framework.

We support the UK Government’s decision to continue to preserve UK European security and to fight terrorism and uphold justice across Europe as detailed in the White Paper

The Lisbon Treaty created the Area of Freedom, Security and Justice (AFSJ), which covers policy areas that range from the management of the EU's external borders to judicial cooperation in civil and criminal matters and police cooperation. It also includes asylum and immigration policies and the fight against crime (terrorism, organised crime, cybercrime, sexual exploitation of children, trafficking in human beings, illegal drugs, etc.).

The UK retained an opt-in facility granted to the UK and Ireland under the Amsterdam Treaty in 1997 and has opted into (or in the case of Schengen-related measures has not opted out of) a number measures, including the EU Arrest Warrant.

EU measures have been developed to deal with cross-border situations, for example where it is suspected that a criminal organisation is operating in several EU countries, or that a suspected criminal is hiding in a different EU country. In such cases, cooperation is necessary. EU law and policy in this area is intended to strengthen dialogue and facilitate action between the criminal justice authorities of EU countries.

a. Access to Agencies

As an EU Member State the UK enjoys access to all of the agencies such as Eurojust, the European Police Office (EUROPOL), the European Police College (CEPOL), the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA) and the European Network and Information Security Agency (ENISA). These agencies participate in the EU wide investigation of crime and subsequent prosecution by way of data sharing measures, identifying whereabouts of a suspect and the obtaining of a European Arrest Warrant.
The UK Government should as part of the withdrawal agreement negotiations give priority to maintaining access to all agencies. It would also be desirable for the UK to retain the ability to influence the policies and operational activities of those organisations but after withdrawal from the EU this would be a challenge.

b. Europol

We agree with the decision of the UK Government to opt in to the new Union Agency for Law Enforcement Co-operation Regulation EU 2016/794 by January 2017 in order to continue access to Europol. Membership of Europol will continue until such time as the Regulation was repealed, although there is provision for the Commission to review and evaluate the working practices of the agency every 5 years. We propose that the UK Government should seek to secure the UK’s continued membership of the Europol network.

c. Schengen Information System (SIS)

The SIS facilitates the real-time sharing of information and alerts between the relevant authorities in participating countries, it is in operation in all EU Member States and Associated Countries that are part of the Schengen Area. Special conditions exist for EU Member States that are not part of the Schengen Area, of which the UK is one. The SIS enables the UK to exchange information with Schengen countries for the purposes of cooperating on law enforcement.

This provides UK police forces with the following specific alerts for persons wanted for arrest for extradition; missing persons; witnesses or absconders or subjects of criminal judgments. Access to the SIS has resulted in access to all information on live European Arrest Warrants, and information in respect of previous convictions of individuals who have offended within the EU and out with the UK.

The UK Government should follow other non-EU countries and continue access to the SIS, particularly if an EAW style framework for extradition to and from EU Member States is agreed as part of the Withdrawal Agreement or the post leaving UK/EU relationship.

d. The European Arrest Warrant (EAW)

The EAW is applied throughout the EU and has replaced extradition procedures within the EU’s territorial jurisdiction. Judicial procedures have been designed to surrender people for the purposes of criminal prosecution or executing a custodial sentence.

Following a withdrawal from the EU unless the EAW is retained the process for the extradition of individuals will be more expensive, complex and time consuming and will require a new treaty or treaties to underpin any alternative arrangements.

Scotland has been making use of the EAW. The Crown Office and Procurator Fiscal Service in Scotland recently published figures relating to the use of the EAW showing that between 2011 and May 2016 there
had been 48 extraditions to Scotland pursuant to EAWs, and 49 EAWs issued by Scotland during the same period.

The options for re-establishing some form of mutual recognition in criminal matters with countries in the EU following a UK exit, include reversion to the European Convention on Extradition 1957 (“ECE 1957”). Such an approach is likely to result in increased burden for all agencies of the criminal justice system. Bilateral Extradition arrangements will require new treaties with EU member states which may be lengthy and present difficulties.

In 2012, the UK Government made a positive decision to opt into the EAW framework. The then Home Secretary Teresa May MP outlined some of the reasons in support of the decision to opt into the framework, for example it being a streamlined process making it easier to bring serious criminals back to the UK to face trial or serve sentences.

Those reasons for opting into the EAW are still sound and the UK Government should take an approach which avoids disengagement from the EAW. There should be no change to the law which would prejudice the safety and security of the individual.

e. The European Investigation Order (EIO)

The UK Government should prioritise the implementation of the Directive regarding the EIO. The UK Government opted-in to this measure and transposition into domestic law must take place by 1st May 2017. The Directive allows member states to carry out investigative measures at the request of another member state on the basis of mutual recognition. These investigative measures include interviewing witnesses, obtaining of information or evidence already in the possession of the executing authority, and (with additional safeguards) interception of telecommunications.

We believe the UK Government should implement the EIO Directive.

f. Criminal Procedure

The EU published a ‘roadmap’ on procedural rights in 2009 to ensure that the basic rights of suspects and accused persons. A number of measures followed with proposals to further strengthen procedural safeguards for citizens in criminal proceedings. Of those measures, the UK opted into and transposed the Directives on the Right to Interpretation and Translation in Criminal Proceedings and the Right to information in Criminal Proceedings.

We believe that the rationale for opting into these Criminal Procedure Directives remains, and the Government should avoid any proposal which results in a reversal or erosion of the opt-in and, which diminishes the right of the individual.
Maintaining the structure of the Brussels Regulations, the EU Enforcement and Order of Payment, the Maintenance Regulation and Rome I & II on Applicable law are essential to litigants in both the UK and the EU. They assist in the resolution of disputes and are valuable to litigants in their personal and commercial capacities. Other Civil Rights including those relating to Intellectual Property should be included in the Withdrawal Agreement.

The Government’s White Paper omitted any reference to Civil Law Rights. We urge the Government to include these issues as priority aspects in the negotiations.

Article 81 of the TFEU states that the “EU shall develop judicial cooperation in civil matters having cross-border implications, based on the principle of mutual recognition of judgments and of decisions in extrajudicial cases... Such cooperation may include the adoption of measures for the approximation of the laws and regulations of the Member States”.

The treaty arrangements are backed up by a number of civil justice instruments into which the UK has opted. These include the Brussels I Regulation on the mutual recognition and enforcement of civil and commercial judgements across member states, which sets out the Rules governing cross-border jurisdiction disputes. The principal rule is that court where a defender is domiciled has jurisdiction. Other EU instruments with significant domestic impact include the EU Enforcement Order 2004 and Order of Payment 2006, and Rome I and II on applicable law.

The EU has also made law in a number of areas concerning civil judicial cooperation in cross-border family cases. The law includes the Brussels II (a) Regulation on the jurisdiction of matrimonial proceedings, principally divorce. This regulation also allows for the mutual recognition and enforceability of judgements concerning parental responsibility and supplements the Hague Convention and provides a mechanism for the return of abducted children. The Maintenance Regulation provides rules for assessing jurisdiction in maintenance disputes and for identifying the law which will be applied as well as for the recognition and enforcement of maintenance decisions from other EU member states’ Courts.

When the UK leaves the EU this body of law will cease to apply in the UK as Article 81 and the regulations and directives flowing from it will not operate outside the EU. Prior to the TFEU and the EU regulations arrangements were made for cross border litigation by way of bilateral treaties and other conventions. When the UK exits unless there is provision in the Withdrawal Agreement this solution will need to be adopted. This will take time, incur cost and delay and will leave citizens with civil or family law issues in limbo unless there is provision in the Withdrawal Agreement.

In family cases, there are some practical problems with the implementation of Brussels II (a) but family practitioners generally agree that the regulation makes the law in this area clearer.
In terms of Intellectual Property the creation of the European Union Intellectual Property Office and EU Trademarks and registered Community Design are important processes for UK business and the Withdrawal Agreement must contain provision preserving access to them and adequate transitional provisions.

Creating Arrangements to Secure the Rights of Parties with Pending Cases before the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)

We believe the UK Government should adopt the option for dealing with pending cases at the CJEU which will cause least disruption to litigants.

The UK’s exit will have an impact on litigants before the Court of Justice of the EU (CJEU) on the CJEU itself and on the relationship between the CJEU and the domestic courts in the constitutive jurisdictions of the UK.

The CJEU has the following functions:

- interpreting EU law (preliminary rulings)
- enforcing EU law (infringement proceedings)
- annulling EU legal acts (actions for annulment)
- ensuring the EU takes action (actions for failure to act)
- sanctioning EU institutions (actions for damages)

The impact will affect litigants and their lawyers. Steps must be taken to uphold the rule of law and the proper administration of justice.

Although the numbers are not known, it is probable that there are currently cases pending in the domestic courts which may involve a reference to the CJEU in the next few years. There are also a few cases which have already been referred and are waiting for a decision. Furthermore, once the UK has left the EU, there will still be a need for a determination on applicable EU law in relation to some cases but the UK will no longer have recourse to the CJEU.

Although there are few current or pending cases these (plus any new cases) must be dealt with using adequate transitional arrangements, rather than left to go through the CJEU system and risk not having been heard before the UK leaves the EU.

There are two options for dealing with such cases:-

Option One would permit the CJEU to hear cases pending at the point of withdrawal and promote compliance with decisions in those cases.
Option Two would provide that the UK Supreme Court would establish an EU Chamber consisting of both UK judges and EU judges with expertise in EU law to deal with cases which are repatriated to the UK following the finalisation of the Withdrawal Agreement.

**Promoting Immigration, Residence, Citizenship and Employment Rights of EU Nationals in the UK**

We believe clarity is needed as a matter of urgency about the residence, housing and work rights of such individuals and their families and how these can be regularised with the minimum of bureaucracy.

We note that the White Paper states that the UK Government wants “to secure the status of EU citizens who are already living in the UK, and that of UK nationals in other Member States” as early as it can.

The Free Movement Directive (2004/38) deals with the ways in which EU citizens and their families exercise the right of free movement, the right of residence and the restrictions on those rights on the grounds of public policy, public security or public health. The UK is currently bound by treaty to the principle of free movement.

Although the UK is bound by the Treaty obligations to respect the free movement of persons it has opted out of most EU Law on immigration, the best example of which is the Schengen Accords which create the common European area and framework for visas and border control.

UK immigration law is reserved to the UK Parliament under the Scotland Act 1998 and although the UK is bound by treaty to the principle of free movement it has retained control over some aspects of border and visa policy.

The UK Government has stated that an objective of withdrawal from the EU’s control of immigration law and policy, borders and visas. There is a debate about the accrued rights of EU citizens and their families. It is desirable that there is early certainty about the status and rights of citizens of other Member States and their families resident in the UK who do not fulfil the current criteria for permanent residence or who move to the UK before the exit Withdrawal Agreement is finalised. It is likely that citizens of EU states living within the UK who do not qualify for permanent residence under the current rules would have to regularise their immigration, residence and visa status.

An EU citizen can apply for a permanent residence card after 5 years residence in the UK. This document proves the right to live in the UK permanently. Eligibility arises if the applicant has lived with an EEA family member for 5 years and the EEA family member is a qualified person throughout 5 years or has a permanent right of residence. The UK Government has stated that when the UK leaves the EU they fully expect that the legal status of EU nationals living in the UK and that of UK nationals in EU member states will be properly protected. The UK Government has also stated that EU nationals who have lived continuously and lawfully in the UK for at least 5 years automatically have a permanent right to reside. This means that they have a right to live in the UK permanently in accordance with EU law. There is no requirement to register for documentation to confirm this status. Furthermore a person can apply for a permanent residence card after that person has lived in the UK for 5 years. The card will prove that...
person’s right to live in the UK permanently. However the application form is lengthy and complex and the formalities can be difficult to comply with. Steps should be taken to minimise the bureaucracy to a minimum.

Similarly, UK citizens living in other member states would have to comply with the immigration, residence and visa requirements imposed by those member states.

**Taking Account of the Interest of the Devolved Administrations and Scots Law in the Exit Negotiations**

While the UK voted to leave the EU by 52% to 48%, in Scotland the vote in favour of Remain was 62% to 38%. In a speech to the Scottish Parliament following the referendum, the First Minister said that it was the responsibility of the UK government to restore stability and confidence and to set out its plan for the way forward. She underlined the need to involve the Scottish Government in that work at every step of the way.

On 15 July, the Prime Minister visited Scotland to meet with the First Minister. She committed to ensuring that Scotland is fully engaged in UK government discussions on its future relationship with the EU. She also said that she would not trigger Article 50 until she believes that a UK approach and objectives for negotiations have been properly established.

On 22 July, the First Minister attended a meeting of the British-Irish Council in Wales for an "extraordinary summit" of the group. Welsh First Minister Carwyn Jones, who convened the Cardiff summit, said afterwards that the devolved governments should need to give permission before the formal process of Brexit begins (a proposition with which Ms Sturgeon broadly agreed).

In a speech to business leaders, charities and public sector organisations for think tank IPPR1 Scotland on 25 July, Ms Sturgeon listed the five key interests she will seek to protect during the coming months' negotiations.

- **Democratic interests** - "the need to make sure Scotland's voice is heard and our wishes respected."
- **Economic interests** - "safeguarding free movement of labour, access to a single market of 500 million people and the funding that our farmers and universities depend on".
- **Social protection** - "ensuring the continued protection of workers' and wider human rights".
- **Solidarity** - "the ability of independent nations to come together for the common good of all our citizens, to tackle crime and terrorism and deal with global challenges like climate change".
- **Having influence** - "making sure that we don't just have to abide by the rules of the single market but also have a say in shaping them."

The UK ought to take into account the views of all devolved administrations. For Scotland, there are particular issues about our legal system, constitutional arrangements such as legislative competency and
how EU laws are dealt with once they are repatriated. Scotland may need increased devolved powers. This affects justice and home affairs, environment law, farming and research (this is discussed later in this paper). Withdrawal from the EU should also not precipitate changes to human rights law (whilst acknowledging that the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights will no longer apply).

The Communiqué from the Joint Ministerial Committee on 24 October 2016 which has resulted in the formalities of a new Joint Ministerial Committee on EU Negotiations is a step forward but notwithstanding political differences concerning constitutional matters intra-UK cooperation between the UK Government and the Devolved Administrations is necessary to ensure the success of the negotiations.

Promoting Continued Professional Recognition and Continued Rights of Audience before Courts in the EU

We believe that the UK Government should negotiate the continuity of the EU law concerning the transnational practice of law and legal professional privilege in the Withdrawal Agreement. We have drafted an article for the Withdrawal Agreement which can be found at Appendix 1.

Free Movement of Lawyers

The regime to regulate the cross-border supply of legal services and the rules designed to facilitate the establishment of a lawyer in another member state have been in force for a number of years. There are three key pieces of legislation that affect the legal profession:

- Lawyers’ Services Directive of 1977 (77/249)
- Recognition of Professional Qualifications Directive (2005/36)4

In addition, Directive 2006/123/EC on Services in the Internal Market which regulates the provision of services in the European Union also touches on the legal profession.

The Lawyers’ Services Directive (temporary provision)

The Lawyers’ Services Directive 1977 governs the provision of services by an EU/EEA/Swiss lawyer in a member state other than the one in which he or she gained his or her title - known as the ‘host state’. Its purpose is to facilitate the free movement of lawyers, but it does not deal with establishment or the recognition of qualifications. The directive provides that a lawyer offering services in another member state - a ‘migrant’ lawyer - must do so under his or her home title. Migrating lawyers may undertake representational activities under the same conditions as local lawyers, save for any residency requirement or requirement to be a member of the host Bar.

However, they may be required to work in conjunction with a lawyer who practices before the judicial authority in question. For other activities the rules of professional conduct of the home state apply without
prejudice to respect for the rules of the host state, notably confidentiality, advertising, conflicts of interest, relations with other lawyers and activities incompatible with the profession of law.

**Permanent Establishment Under Home Title**

The Establishment Directive 1998 entitles lawyers who are qualified in and a citizen of a member state to practice on a permanent basis under their home title in another EU/EEA member state, or Switzerland. The practice of law permitted under the Directive includes not only the lawyers’ home state law, community law and international law, but also the law of the member state in which they are practicing – the 'host' state.

However, this entitlement requires that a lawyer wishing to practice on a permanent basis registers with the relevant Bar or Law Society in that state and is subject to the same rules regarding discipline, insurance and professional conduct as domestic lawyers.

Once registered, the European lawyer can apply to be admitted to the host state profession after three years without being required to pass the usual exams, provided that he or she can provide evidence of effective and regular practice of the host state law over that period.

**Recognition of Professional Qualifications**

Re-qualification as a full member of the host State legal profession is governed by the Recognition of Professional Qualifications Directive. Article 10 of the 1998 Lawyers’ Establishment Directive is essentially an exemption from the regime foreseen by the Recognition of Professional Qualifications Directive.

The basic rules are that a lawyer seeking to re-qualify in another EU/EEA member state or Switzerland must show that he or she has the professional qualifications required for the taking up or pursuit of the profession of lawyer in one member state and is in good standing with his or her home bar.

The member state where the lawyer is seeking to re-qualify may require the lawyer to either:

- complete an adaptation period (a period of supervised practice) not exceeding three years, or
- take an aptitude test to assess the ability of the applicant to practice as a lawyer of the host member state (the test only covers the essential knowledge needed to exercise the profession in the host member state and it must take account of the fact that the applicant is a qualified professional in the member state of origin).

It is also worth bearing in mind that a number of our future lawyers take advantage of programmes to broaden their horizons during their studies, which rely on reciprocal arrangements with other EU universities. The ERASMUS programme, the best-known EU student exchange programme established in 1987, has a number of participants from Scottish law schools.
Legal Professional Privilege

The CJEU decided the case of AKZO NOBEL Ltd and AKCROS Chemicals Ltd v The European Commission (C-550/07) in September 2010. The judgement concerned the application of legal professional privileged communications between a client and in-house Counsel. The Court also decided to exclude all lawyers qualified outside the EU from the application of legal professional privilege. The case proceeded on the precedent of the ECJ in AM&S Europe v the Commission [1982] ECR 1575 paras 25-26 which also excluded non-EU lawyers from the application of legal professional privilege. The Court acknowledged that legal professional privilege applies to communications between a client and his independent lawyer but limited the definition of lawyer to “a lawyer entitled to practice his profession in one of the member states, regardless of the member state in which that client lives… but not beyond”. The apparent basis of the exclusion of third countries from the benefit of legal professional privilege within the EU is the difficulty of the “Court being able to ensure that the third country in question has a sufficiently established Rule of Law tradition which would enable lawyers to exercise the profession in the independent manner required and they to perform their role as collaborators in the administration of justice”. Opinion of Advocate General Kokott, 29 April 2010 paras 60-61. Legal professional privilege and Confidentiality of Communications is a key aspect of the Rule of Law in the UK and is acknowledged by the Courts and Parliament as central to the administration of justice. Recently legislation such as the Investigatory Powers Bill and the Policing and Crime Bill specifically acknowledge the requirement to protect legal professional privilege and confidentiality. The doctrine is upheld under human rights law in Campbell v UK (1992) 15 EHRR 137. The loss of legal professional privilege and confidentiality will have a negative impact on the rights of clients and on the ability of lawyers in the UK to provide a full service to their clients when acting in EU legal issues or on matters which relate to EU Law or business in the EU. The UK legal systems clearly meet the test which Advocate General Kokott identified in respect of the Rule of Law and the independence of the lawyers in those systems and should therefore have legal professional privilege accorded to the lawyer/client relationship when EU Law is an issue.

This should be a priority for the UK Government in the negotiations in order to ensure that UK Lawyers can function fully when acting for British EU clients and third country who wish their legal services and advice.

The Impact on the Scottish Parliament and the Scottish Government

The Scotland Act 1998 embeds EU law into the fabric of devolution. Section 29 of the Scotland Act provides that legislation passed by the Scottish Parliament “is not law” if it is incompatible with EU law. Compliance with EU law is therefore a basic condition of the validity of law passed by the Scottish Parliament.

Furthermore, Executive competence concerning EU law is also determined by Section 57 of the 1998 Act which states in subsection (2) that “A member of the Scottish Government has no power to make any subordinate legislation, or to do any other act, so far as the legislation or act is incompatible with EU law”.
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Impact of Withdrawal on the devolved jurisdictions

Under the systems of national or regional devolution which apply within the United Kingdom there are currently three methods of devolution:-

1. In Scotland the Scottish Parliament exercises devolved legislative power and the Scottish Government devolved executive power under the Scotland Act 1988. There are significant powers expressly reserved to the United Kingdom Parliament, and the Scottish Parliament does not have competence to legislate on reserved matters.

2. In Northern Ireland the Northern Ireland devolved institutions are constituted under the Northern Ireland Act 1998. This legislation devolves legislative control over certain matters – transferred matters to the Northern Ireland Assembly. These are principally in the economic, justice and social fields. The Assembly can also in principal legislate “reserved” category matters subject to various consents. Excepted matters remain the responsibility of the UK Government and the UK Parliament and the Northern Ireland Assembly does not have competence to legislate on these matters.

3. In Wales under the Government of Wales Acts 1998 – 2006 the current system of devolution in Wales is based on the “conferred powers model” which means that the UK Parliament has given powers to the National Assembly for Wales to make laws in specific areas. This system of devolution is due to change when the Wales Act 2017 is implemented.

The impact of the UK’s withdrawal from the EU on the devolved jurisdictions will consequently be different as regards each individual jurisdiction. The different methods of devolution create a complex set of arrangements which prevent there from being a “one size fits all” solution for each devolved area and therefore each relationship between the devolved arrangements and the EU and between the UK and Governments will need to be carefully considered. How the withdrawal of the UK will affect devolved powers both legislative and executive will require careful analysis, stakeholder engagement, consultation and respect for the rule of law.

The Need for Accurate Mapping

The need for accurate mapping of the devolved powers in each of the legislatures in Scotland, Northern Ireland and Wales and in each of the executive authorities in Scotland, Northern Ireland and Wales is essential in order to work out which powers would devolve from the EU via the UK to the devolved arrangements. Professor Alan Page’s paper “The implications of EU withdrawal for the devolution settlement” prepared for the Scottish Parliament’s Culture, Tourism, Europe and External Relations Committee deals with this in significant detail. In contradistinction to reserved schemes under the Scotland Act 1998 and excepted powers under the Northern Ireland Act 1998 (which generally reserve powers to the
UK Parliament) and in relation to the current arrangements for Wales (although these will change with the Wales Act 2017) is that the National Assembly for Wales can only legislate on matters that are specifically conferred upon it by the UK Parliament and that anything which is not conferred is outside the competence of the National Assembly for Wales. This differentiation between the forms of devolution may create technical difficulties for dealing with repatriated laws in connection with matters currently within the province of the EU under the treaty.

**Repatriation of EU Law**

The issue of repatriation of EU law is becoming a more significant issue as appreciation of the relationship between EU law, UK law and law applicable in the devolved arrangements is more clearly seen.

**Compliance with EU Law in the Devolved Jurisdictions**

All the devolved arrangements are required to comply with EU law, This obligation is found in the (Scotland Act 1998 section 29 the, Northern Ireland Act 1998 section 6(2)(d), Government of Wales Act 2006 section (108)(2)(c) in each of these statutes EU law is defined as:-

1. Scotland Act, 1998 s.126 EU Law means “a) all rights, powers, liabilities, obligations and restrictions created or arising by or under the EU treaties and b) all those remedies and procedures from time to time provided for, by or under the EU treaties.”
2. Northern Ireland Act 1998 s.98, EU Law means “a) all rights, powers, liabilities, obligations and restrictions created or arising by or under the EU treaties and b) all remedies and procedures provided for, by or under the EU treaties.”
3. Government of Wales Act 2006 s.158, EU Law means “a) all rights, powers, liabilities, obligations and restrictions created or arising by or under the EU treaties and b) all those remedies and procedures from time to time provided for, by or under the EU treaties.”

And consequently the devolved legislatures and executive arrangements are required to comply with EU law when it is making primary legislation or taking executive action.

In one sense then the current UK domestic legislation will ensure that until the UK formally leaves the EU the devolved arrangements will require to comply with that law and the UK will not be at risk of breaching EU law.

However the situation changes in the following circumstances:

(a) If the treaties cease to apply under Article 50;

(b) If the requirement to comply with EU law is repealed in accordance with the Great Repeal Bill.

Any Act of the Scottish Parliament passed/enacted before such a change will only be law if it has complied with EU law at the date of passing/enactment. In either case express provision in the Great Repeal Bill any
devolved equivalent will have to be in place to preserve EU law at the point of the UK’s leaving the EU and to ensure it will continue to apply within the devolved arrangements.

**Repatriated EU Law: Reserved or Devolved**

A question arises as to whether EU law which is repatriated at the point of the UK’s leaving the EU falls into the reserved or devolved areas and what mechanisms if any should be applied to properly transition EU law in devolved areas to the respective legislatures and executive arrangements throughout the UK.

The Scottish Government’s paper *Scotland’s Place in Europe* identified the following areas currently under EU competence which are not specifically reserved under the Scotland Act 1998.

a) Agriculture, food and drink, in areas covered by the EU Common Agricultural Policy and EU law on food and drink, animal health and welfare, plant health, seeds, potatoes, pesticides and genetically modified organisms.

b) Fisheries, aquaculture and the marine environment, which are subject to the EU Common Fisheries Policy and marine environment and planning laws.

c) Environmental protection, including laws on pollution, waste and recycling.

d) Civil law.

e) Criminal law and law enforcement.

f) Health, where for example protections afforded under the European Health Insurance Card scheme are at risk.

g) Higher education and research, where Scotland has benefited from EU mechanisms for collaboration and funding.

The Law Societies Brussels Office has conducted some research into the EU Competences concerning Agriculture, fisheries, agriculture, health cover and higher education. This work (contained in Appendix 2) indicates the large number of directives and complexity of the legislation affecting these areas of the law.

Some of these may be dealt with in the context of the withdrawal agreement between the UK and the EU such as civil law and criminal law enforcement, but others will be effectively repatriated and the point of leaving the EU. The Prime Minister stressed that “no decisions currently taken by the devolved administrations will be removed from them”, but that devolution of repatriated powers would need to be managed in such a way as to ensure no new barriers within the UK are created. The White Paper indicates that “As the powers to make these rules are repatriated to the UK from the EU we have the opportunity to determine the level best placed to make new laws and policies on these issues…”
What powers are devolved as a result of leaving the EU is a matter of political negotiation between the UK Government and the devolved administrations taking into account legal and stakeholder views.

Parliamentary, academic and professional discussions are evolving options which may be applied to determine the practicalities of how repatriated laws could be legally and properly transitioned from EU law and the supra national legal order to the national legal order and to that of the devolved jurisdictions.

These discussions have highlighted:-

1. **A Constitutional Convention**

   A Constitutional Convention was recommended in the Report by the Constitutional and Administrative Reform Committee *Do we need a Constitutional Convention for the UK?* Which was published in session 2012 – 2013 (HC371).

   The House of Commons Political and Constitutional Reform Committee also suggested a Constitutional Convention to review how the Union and Devolution is functioning in its report *The future of devolution after the Scottish Referendum (HC700) para 110*.

2. **A Commission with a similar composition to the Smith Commission or Calman Commission.**

3. **The JMC (EN) or another Sub-Committee of the JMC.**

4. **A new structure or grouping including UK, Scottish, Northern Irish, Welsh Ministers, subject experts and stakeholders.**

Which option is chosen is a matter for discussion between the UK Government and the Devolved Administrations. In coming to a decision they should be guided by principles of legality, openness, transparency and clarity. It will be necessary for the transfer to take place within a reasonable timescale and for there to be good cooperation between the UK Government and the Devolved Administrations and broad consultation with stakeholders.
Appendix 1

UK-EU WITHDRAWAL AGREEMENT DRAFT ARTICLE ON LEGAL SERVICES

1. The parties recognise that trans-European and transnational legal services that cover the laws of multiple jurisdictions play an essential role in facilitating trade and investment and in promoting economic growth and business confidence.

2. The parties shall regulate or seek to regulate UK and EU lawyers and transnational legal practices, subject to such amendment as may be necessary to reflect this Agreement, in such a manner as existing EU Law currently provides. Accordingly the parties agree that the following Directives continue to apply in the UK, notwithstanding that the UK is no longer a member State of the EU:-

   (i) The Lawyers Services Directive of 1977 (77/249)
   (ii) The Lawyers Establishment Directive of 1998 (98/5); and

3. The parties also agree that:-

   (a) foreign lawyers may practice foreign law on the basis of their right to practice that law in their home jurisdiction;

   (b) foreign lawyers may prepare for and appear at commercial arbitration, conciliation and mediation proceedings;

   (c) lawyers qualified in a UK jurisdiction may prepare for and appear in the Court of Justice of the European Union;

   (d) provision of legal services through web based or telecommunications communications technology is permitted;

   (e) foreign lawyers and domestic (host country) lawyers may work together in the delivery of full integrated transnational legal services; and

   (f) “foreign lawyer” means in relation to the UK, a lawyer qualified in an EU member state and in relation to the EU, a lawyer qualified in the UK, as referred to in Article 1 of the Lawyers Establishment Directive of 1998 (98/5) and the term “Foreign Law”, shall be construed accordingly.
APPENDIX 2

Competencies – Agriculture, Fisheries, Aquaculture, Health Cover and Higher Education

The competences of the Union are defined in the EU Treaties (Articles 2-6 of the Treaty on the functioning of the European Union – TFEU).

The competences of the EU are divided into three categories:

1) the EU has exclusive competence (Article 3 TFEU) (only the EU can act)

2) competences are shared between the EU and the member states (Article 4 TFEU) (The member states can act only if the EU has chosen not to)

3) the EU has competence to support, coordinate or supplement the actions of the member states (article 6 TFEU) – in these areas, the EU may not adopt legally binding acts that require the member states to harmonise their laws and regulations.

NB: "Shared competence" means that both the EU and its member states may adopt legally binding acts in the area concerned.

However, the member states can do so only where the EU has not exercised its competence or has explicitly ceased to do so.

The following table is a list of EU competencies which provides the legal basis for which the EU can legislate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exclusive competence (Art 3 of TFU)</th>
<th>Shared competence (see Article 4 TFEU)</th>
<th>Competence to support, coordinate or supplement actions of the member states (see Article 6 TFEU)</th>
<th>Competence to provide arrangements within which EU member states must coordinate policy (see Article 5 TFEU)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>customs union</td>
<td>internal market</td>
<td>protection and improvement of human health</td>
<td>economic policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The establishing of the competition rules necessary for the functioning of the internal market</td>
<td>social policy, limited to the aspects defined in the TFEU</td>
<td>industry</td>
<td>employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monetary policy for the member states whose currency is the euro</td>
<td>economic, social and territorial cohesion</td>
<td>culture</td>
<td>social policies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservation of marine biological resources under the common fisheries policy</td>
<td>agriculture and fisheries, excluding the conservation of marine biological resources</td>
<td>tourism</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common commercial policy</td>
<td>environment</td>
<td>education, vocational training, youth and sport</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concluding international agreements: when their conclusion is required by a legislative act of the EU</td>
<td>consumer protection</td>
<td>civil protection</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in so far as their conclusion may affect common rules or alter their scope.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>transport</th>
<th>administrative cooperation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Legally binding EU acts in these areas cannot imply the harmonisation of national laws or regulations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-European networks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>energy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>area of freedom, security and justice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>common safety concerns in public health matters, limited to the aspects defined in the TFEU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>research, technological development and space</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Agriculture

The common agricultural policy (CAP) is aimed at helping European farmers meet the need to feed more than 500 million Europeans. Its main objectives are to provide a stable, sustainably produced supply of safe food at affordable prices for consumers, while also ensuring a decent standard of living for 22 million farmers and agricultural workers. The CAP reform is now in place for 2014-2020.

With an annual budget of roughly €59 billion, the CAP strengthens the competitiveness and sustainability of agriculture in Europe by financing a range of support measures through the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund and the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development notably:

- **Direct payments** provide an important support for farmers in order to help stabilise their incomes, linked to complying with safety norms, environmental and animal welfare standards. With these annual payments predominantly "decoupled" from production – i.e. farmers choose what to produce on the basis of the likely return from the market, rather than on the basis of public support - they support the long-term viability of farms in the face of volatile markets and unpredictable weather conditions, and recognise the environmental contribution and public goods that farmers provide to society.

**Legislation of direct support**

**Council Regulations**


Legal basis: Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, Article 43(2)


Legal basis: Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, Article 42 and Article 43(2)

**Commission Regulations**

>> Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 639/2014

Council Regulation (EC) No 73/2009 (1), and in particular Articles 4(3), 8(3), 9(5), 35(1), (2) and (3), 36(6), 39(3), 43(12), 44(5), 45(5) and (6), 46(9), 50(11), 52(9), 57(3), 58(5), 59(3), 67(1) and (2).


>> Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 641/2014


>> Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 809/2014


- **Market measures** provide a range of tools including measures to address the situation if normal market forces fail. For example, if there is a sudden drop in demand because of a health scare or a fall in prices because of a temporary oversupply on the market, the European Commission can activate **market support** measures.

The Common Market Organisation (CMO) is a set of rules which regulates agricultural markets in the European Union. It builds on the rules for the common market in goods and services with specific policy tools that help improve the functioning of agricultural markets.

**Legislation for Market Measures**

**Council Regulation**

>> **Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013**

Legal basis: Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular the first subparagraph of Article 42 and Article 43(2) thereof.
• **Rural development programmes** provide a framework to **invest in individual projects on farms** or **in other activities in rural areas** on the basis of economic, environmental or social priorities **designed at national or regional level**. Funded through the EAFRD, this covers projects such as on-farm investment & modernisation, installation grants for young farmers, agri-environment measures, organic conversion, agri-tourism, village renewal, or providing broadband internet coverage in rural areas.

**Legislation for Rural Development Programmes**

Main Regulations


Legal basis: Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, Article 177

The "Common Provisions" Regulation provides for a shared set of basic rules applying to all European Structural and Investments Funds (ESIFs) including the EAFRD.


This is the basic act that sets out the specific rules relating to the EAFRD for rural development programming.

Legal basis: Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, Article 43(2).

*Regulation (EU) nº 1306/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the financing, management and monitoring of the common agricultural policy*

The so-called "Horizontal" Regulation provides the financial management rules for the two CAP funds, the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) which finances market measures and direct payments, and the EAFRD which finances support to rural development. It brings together the rules on cross compliance, farm advisory systems and monitoring and evaluation of the CAP.

Legal basis: Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 43(2).
Regulation (EU) nº 1310/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council laying down certain transitional provisions on support for rural development by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD)

This Regulation defines transitional rules in order to bridge the gap between two multi-annual programming periods.

Legal basis: Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, Articles 42 and 43(2).

Delegated acts and implementing acts

Delegated acts supplement or amend legislative acts in relation to elements that are not considered essential, while implementing acts are adopted by the Commission to ensure that legislative acts are applied in a uniform way in all Member States.


Legal basis: Regulation (EU) No 1305/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 on support for rural development by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1698/2005 (1), and in particular Article 8(3), Article 12, Article 14(6), Article 41, Articles 54(4) and 66(5), Article 67, Articles 75(5) and 76(1),

Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 640/2014 of 11 March 2014 supplementing Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council with regard to the integrated administration and control system and conditions for refusal or withdrawal of payments and administrative penalties applicable to direct payments, rural development support and cross compliance

(EC) No 1290/2005 and (EC) No 485/2008, and in particular Articles 63(4), 64(6) and 72(5), Article 76, Articles 77(7), 93(4) and 101(1), and Article 120.


This is the Scottish rural development programme.

Agriculture and the environment

Integrating environmental concerns into the Common Agricultural Policy aims to head off the risks of environmental degradation and enhancing the sustainability of agro-ecosystems.

The Common Agricultural Policy reflects the two principles, the "polluter pays principle" and the "provider gets principle", in integrating environmental concerns into the policy via two mechanisms:

1) Linking the respect of selected statutory requirements (Cross-compliance) of the preceding to most CAP payments and sanctioning non-compliance by payment reductions.

Cross-compliance represents the "baseline" or "reference level" for agri-environment measures. For all requirements falling under cross-compliance, the compliance costs have to be born by farmers ("Polluter-Pays-Principle").

Legislation

Council Regulation 73/2009 and

Legal basis: Treaty establishing the European Community, Articles 36 and 37 and Article 299(2).

Commission Regulation 1122/2009

Legal basis: 1) Council Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007 of 22 October 2007 establishing a common organisation of agricultural markets and on specific provisions for certain agricultural products (Single CMO Regulation) (1), and in particular Articles 85x and 103za, in conjunction with Article 4

farmers, amending Regulations (EC) No 1290/2005, (EC) No 247/2006, (EC) No 378/2007 and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1782/2003, and in particular Article 142 (b), (c), (d), (e), (h), (k), (l), (m), (n), (o), (q) and (s).

2) Paying for the provision of environmental public goods and services going beyond mandatory requirements (Agri-environment)

Agri-environment measures are a key element for the integration of environmental concerns into the Common Agricultural Policy. They are designed to encourage farmers to protect and enhance the environment on their farmland by paying them for the provision of environmental services.

Legislation

The legal obligations that form the reference level for the agri-environment measures are indicated in article 39.3 of Regulation No 1698/2005

Legal basis: Treaty establishing the European Community, Articles 36, 37 and 299(2)

Agriculture and biodiversity

By managing a large part of the European Union's territory, agriculture preserves farm-genetic resources, bio-diversity, and a wide range of valuable habitats.

'Biodiversity' refers to the variety of life and its processes. The concept is closely associated with 'ecosystems' and 'habitats'. Agricultural biodiversity includes all components of biological diversity of relevance for food and agriculture, and all components of biological diversity that constitutes the agro-ecosystem.

At EU level, the implementation of the Birds and Habitats Directives form the cornerstone of Europe's nature conservation policy. The legal basis for which lays in Treaty establishing the European Community, Article 175(1) and the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community, and in particular Article 130s respectively.

The Biodiversity Action Plan for Agriculture was adopted in 2001. It is based on the use of a number of CAP instruments benefiting biodiversity. This includes measures that encompass environmental requirements integrated into market policy and targeted environmental measures that form part of the Rural Development Programmes.

On 3 May 2011, the European Commission adopted a new strategy to halt the loss of biodiversity and ecosystem services in the EU by 2020.
Agriculture and water

The Common Agricultural Policy supports investments to conserve water, improve irrigation infrastructures and enable farmers to improve irrigation techniques. It also helps to protect water quality.

The main CAP instruments promoting sustainable water management are the following:

Certain rural development measures support investments for improving the state of irrigation infrastructures or irrigation techniques that require the abstraction of lower volumes of water, as well as actions to improve water quality.

The cross-compliance framework includes statutory requirements related to water protection and management arising from the implementation of the groundwater directive and nitrates directive, as well as GAEC standards. The legal basis on the Groundwater Directive is the Treaty establishing the European Community, Article 175(1).

At EU level;

- the Water Framework Directive plays a vital role in protecting water quality and quantity. This Directive requires Member States to establish river basin management plans (at the latest by end 2009), and to ensure that water pricing policies provide adequate incentives for users to use water resources efficiently (at the latest by end 2010).
  Legal basis: Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Article 175(1).

  Legal basis for the 1991 Directive: Treaty establishing the European Economic Community, and in particular 130s


- The Drinking water Directive concerns the quality of water intended for human consumption. Its objective is to protect human health from adverse effects of any contamination of water intended for human consumption by ensuring that it is wholesome and clean.
  Legal basis: Treaty establishing the European Community and, in particular, Article 130s(1)

- Payments under Article 38 of the Rural Development Regulation (discussed above Rural Development Plans) will contribute to the implementation of the Water Framework Directive.
The EU also regulates to protect water quality with respect to nitrates and pesticides (discussed below).

**Agriculture and soil protection**

The Common Agricultural Policy contributes to preventing and mitigating soil degradation processes. In particular, agri-environment measures (discussed above).

In addition, the provisions of cross-compliance (noted above), notably with respect to the obligation to keeping agricultural land in good agricultural and environmental condition, can play an important role for soil protection.

The European Commission adopted in 2006 a soil protection thematic strategy.

**Agriculture and nitrates**

EU legislation on nitrates aims at reducing water pollution by nitrates from agricultural sources and at preventing further pollution.

In terms of environmental legislation, the EU's Nitrates Directive was introduced in 1991 with two main objectives:

1) To reduce water pollution by nitrates from agricultural sources.

2) To prevent further pollution.

Legal basis for the directive: Treaty establishing the European Economic Community, Article 130s

The directive is managed by Member States and involves monitoring water quality in relation to agriculture and designation of Nitrate Vulnerable Zones. It also involves the establishment of (voluntary) codes of good agricultural practice and of (obligatory) measures to be implemented in action programmes for nitrate vulnerable zones.

Detailed information can be found on the "Implementation of Nitrates Directive" web pages.

**Agriculture and pesticides**

The EU seeks to ensure the correct use of pesticides to minimise the associated environmental and health risks. It also informs the public about their use and about any residue issues that might arise.

In 2006, the Commission adopted two proposals to strengthen the legislative framework concerning pesticides:

- a proposal to review the current legislation concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market,
- a Directive on the sustainable use of pesticides, which will cover the use phase of pesticides.
Legal basis for the directive: Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Article 175(1)

The EU also regulates the protection of water quality with respect to pesticides. The Water Framework Directive provides an integrated framework for the assessment, monitoring, and management of all surface waters and groundwater based on their ecological and chemical status.

Mandatory cross-compliance, established by the 2003 CAP reform, includes the respect of statutory requirements arising from the implementation of EU legislation covering the proper use of plant protection products.

**Agriculture and renewable energy**

The [EU Energy Policy](http://example.com) is one of the main priorities of the Commission. It aims at ensuring that the EU has secure, affordable and climate-friendly energy. Renewable energy provides an essential contribution to fighting climate change, improving energy security and creating new jobs and growth including in rural areas.

The [Renewable Energy Directive](http://example.com) (RED) 2009/28/EC defines binding targets for each Member State, such that the EU as a whole will reach a 20% share of renewable energy in the overall energy consumption by 2020.

On 30 November 2016, the Commission published a proposal for a [revised Renewable Energy Directive](http://example.com) to make the EU a global leader in renewable energy and ensure that the target of at least 27% renewables in the final energy consumption in the EU by 2030 is met.

Legal basis for the two Directives: Treaty establishing the European Community, Article 175(1) thereof, and; Article 95 in relation to Articles 17, 18 and 19 of Renewable Energy Directive (RED) 2009/28/EC, respectively, and for the proposal;

[Treaty establishing the European Union, 194(2)](http://example.com) Organic Farming

In 2007 the European Council of Agricultural Ministers agreed on a [new Council Regulation](http://example.com) (Council Regulation (EC) No. 834/2007) setting out the principles, aims and overarching rules of organic production and defining how organic products were to be labelled.

Legal basis: Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Article 37

Organic production respects natural systems and cycles. Biological and mechanical production processes and land-related production should be used to achieve sustainability, without having recourse to genetically modified organisms (GMOs).

In organic farming, closed cycles using internal resources and inputs are preferred to open cycles based on external resources. If the latter are used, they should be organic materials from other organic farms/natural substances/materials obtained naturally, or mineral fertilisers with low solubility.
Exceptionally, however, synthetic resources and inputs may be permissible if there are no suitable alternatives. Such products, which must be scrutinised by the Commission and EU countries before authorisation, are listed in the annexes to the implementing regulation (Commission Regulation (EC) No. 889/2008).

Legal basis: Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 of 28 June 2007 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Regulation (EEC) No 2092/91 (1), and in particular Article 9(4), the second paragraph of Article 11 Articles 12(3), 14(2), 16(3)(c), 17(2) and 18(5), the second subparagraph of Article 19(3), Articles 21(2), 22(1), 24(3), 25(3), 26, 28(6), 29(3) and 38(a), (b), (c) and (e), and Article 40

Labelling organic foods

Foods may be labelled "organic" only if at least 95% of their agricultural ingredients meet the necessary standards. In non-organic foods, any ingredients which meet organic standards can be listed as organic. To ensure credibility, the code number of the certifying organisation must be provided.

Organic production outlaws the use of genetically modified organisms and derived products. However, the regulation on genetically modified food and feed lays down a threshold (0.9%) under which a product's GMO content does not have to be indicated. Products with GMO content below this threshold can be labelled organic.

Legal basis: Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Articles 37, 95 and Article 152(4)(b)

Since 1 July 2010, producers of packaged organic food have been required under EU law to use the EU organic logo. However, this is not a binding requirement for organic foods from non-EU countries. Where the EU organic logo is used, the place where any farmed ingredients were produced must be indicated.

EU agricultural product quality policy

Agricultural products produced in the European Union (EU) reflect the rich diversity of different traditions and regions in Europe. To help protect and promote products with particular characteristics linked to their geographical origin as well as traditional products, the EU created quality logos, named "Protected Designation of Origin", "Protected Geographical Indication" and "Traditional Speciality Guaranteed".

In concrete terms, the EU product quality schemes relate to agricultural products and foodstuffs, wines, spirits and aromatised wines, which producers or producer groups have registered according to the rules.

Quality schemes are backed by EU marketing standards (Council Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007), laying down product definitions and categories, minimum characteristics and labelling requirements to be respected on the EU single market.

Legal basis: Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Articles 36 and 37
Legislation

PDO, PGI and TSG (agriculture products and foodstuffs)


Legal basis: Regulation (EC) No 110/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 January 2008 on the definition, description, presentation, labelling and the protection of geographical indications of spirit drinks (1), and in particular Article 24(3) and Article 27

**Implemented and delegated Regulations:**

**Delegated Regulation (EU) No 664/2014 of 18 December 2013 supplementing Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council with regard to the establishment of the Union symbols for protected designations of origin, protected geographical indications and traditional specialities guaranteed and with regard to certain rules on sourcing, certain procedural rules and certain additional transitional rules**

Legal basis: Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 November 2012 on quality schemes for agricultural products and foodstuffs (1), and in particular the first and second subparagraphs of Article 5(4), the first subparagraph of Article 12(7), Article 16(2), the first subparagraph of Article 19(2), the first subparagraph of Article 23(4), Article 25(3), the first subparagraph of Article 49(7), the first subparagraph of Article 51(6), the first subparagraph of Article 53(3), and the first subparagraph of Article 54(2)


Legal basis: Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 November 2012 on quality schemes for agricultural products and foodstuffs (1), and in particular the second subparagraph of Article 7(2), Article 11(3), the second subparagraph of Article 12(7), the second subparagraph of Article 19(2), Article 22(2), the second subparagraph of Article 23(4), Article 44(3), the second subparagraph of Article 49(7), the second subparagraph of Article 51(6), the second subparagraph of Article 53(3) and the second subparagraph of Article 54(2)

Legal basis: Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 November 2012 on quality schemes for agricultural products and foodstuffs (1), and in particular Article 31(3) and (4)

Guidelines

Guidelines on EU best practice

Guidelines on labelling of products using PDO-PGI ingredients

PDO, PGI (wine)


Legal basis: Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular the first subparagraph of Article 42 and Article 43(2)


Legal basis: Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 43(2)

Implementing Regulations


Commission Regulation (EC) No 436/2009 of 26 May 2009 laying down detailed rules for the application of Council Regulation (EC) No 479/2008 as regards the vineyard register, compulsory declarations and the gathering of information to monitor the wine market, the documents accompanying consignments of wine products and the wine sector registers to be kept


Spirit drinks (geographical indication)


Legal basis: Treaty establishing the European Community, Article 95

Implementing Regulation


Legal basis: Regulation (EC) No 110/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 January 2008 on the definition, description, presentation, labelling and the protection of geographical indications of spirit drinks (1), and in particular Article 24(3) and Article 27

Aromatized wines (geographical indication)

Legal basis: Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 43(2) and Article 114

The EU promotes quality schemes (laid down in Regulation (EU) No 1144/2014) with campaigns such as "Tastes of Europe". There are also a number of optional quality terms, and separate rules on organic farming.

Legal basis: Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Articles 42 and 43(2)

**General Food Law**

European citizens need to have access to safe and wholesome food of the highest standard.


Legal basis: Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Articles 37, 95, 133 and Article 152(4)(b)

The General Food Law Regulation is the foundation of food and feed law. It sets outs an overarching and coherent framework for the development of food and feed legislation both at Union and national levels. To this end, it lays down general principles, requirements and procedures that underpin decision making in matters of food and feed safety, covering all stages of food and feed production and distribution.

It also sets up an independent agency responsible for scientific advice and support, the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA).

Moreover, it creates the main procedures and tools for the management of emergencies and crises as well as the Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed (RASFF).

**Biotechnology: Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs) in agriculture**

One example of the many applications of modern biotechnology is the use of GMOs in the food production chain. GMOs are organisms such as plants, animals and micro-organisms (bacteria, viruses, etc.), the genetic characteristics of which have been modified artificially in order to give them a new property (a plant's resistance to a disease or insect, increased crop productivity, a plant's tolerance of a herbicide, etc.).

The legal framework aims to:

- Protect human and animal health and the environment by introducing a safety assessment of the highest possible standards at EU level before any GMO is placed on the market.
- Put in place harmonised procedures for risk assessment and authorisation of GMOs that are efficient, time-limited and transparent.
- Ensure clear labelling of GMOs placed on the market in order to enable consumers as well as professionals (e.g. farmers, and food feed chain operators) to make an informed choice.
- Ensure the traceability of GMOs placed on the market

The building blocks of the GMO legislation are

**Directive 2001/18/EC** on the deliberate release of GMOs into the environment

Legal basis: Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Article 95

**Regulation (EC) 1829/2003** on genetically modified food and feed

Legal basis: Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Articles 37, 95 and Article 152(4)(b)

**Directive (EU) 2015/412** amending Directive 2001/18/EC as regards the possibility for the Member States to restrict or prohibit the cultivation of GMOs in their territory

Legal basis: Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 114

**Regulation (EC) 1830/2003** concerning the traceability and labelling of genetically modified organisms and the traceability of food and feed products produced from genetically modified organisms

Legal basis: Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Article 95(1)


Legal basis: Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Article 175(1)

These main pieces of legislation are supplemented by a number of implementing rules or by recommendations and guidelines on more specific aspects.

**Fisheries & Aquaculture**

The Common Fisheries Policy (CFP)

The CFP is a set of rules for managing European fishing fleets and for conserving fish stocks. Designed to manage a common resource, it gives all European fishing fleets equal access to EU waters and fishing grounds and allows fishermen to compete fairly.

**Fisheries Legislation**


Legal basis: Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 43(2)


Legal basis: Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 43(2)

**Aquaculture Guidelines and Legislation**

**Strategic Guidelines for the sustainable development of EU aquaculture Communication to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions (29/04/2013)**

**Alien species**


Legal basis: Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 43(2)


Legal basis: Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Article 37 and Article 299(2)

**Organic aquaculture**


Legal basis: Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 of 28 June 2007 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Regulation (EEC) No 2092/91 (1), and in particular Articles 11, 13(3), 15(2), 16(1) and (3)(a) and (c), 17(2), 18(5), the second subparagraph of Article 19(3), Articles 22(1), 28(6) and 38(a), (b), (c), and Article 40

Legal basis: Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 of 28 June 2007 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Regulation (EEC) No 2092/91 (1), and in particular Article 9(4), the second paragraph of Article 11 Articles 12(3), 14(2), 16(3)(c), 17(2) and 18(5), the second subparagraph of Article 19(3), Articles 21(2), 22(1), 24(3), 25(3), 26, 28(6), 29(3) and 38(a), (b), (c) and (e), and Article 40


The EU Animal Health Law

The European Parliament and the Council adopted the Regulation on transmissible animal diseases (“Animal Health Law”) in March 2016. The Regulation was published in the Official Journal on 31 March 2016. The Regulation enters into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union and will be applicable in 5 years.

Legal basis: Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 43(2), Article 114 and Article 168(4)(b)

Overall, the single, comprehensive new animal health law will support the EU livestock sector in its quest towards competitiveness and safe and smooth EU market of animals and of their products, leading to growth and jobs in this important sector:

The huge number of legal acts are streamlined into a single law.

Simpler and clearer rules enable authorities and those having to follow the rules to focus on key priorities: preventing and eradicating disease.

Responsibilities are clarified for farmers, vets and others dealing with animals.

The new rules allow greater use of new technologies for animal health activities - surveillance of pathogens, electronic identification and registration of animals.

Better early detection & control of animal diseases, including emerging diseases linked to climate change, will help to reduce the occurrence and effects of animal epidemics.

There will be more flexibility to adjust rules to local circumstances, and to emerging issues such as climate and social change.
It sets out a better legal basis for monitoring animal pathogens resistant to antimicrobial agents supplementing existing rules and two other proposals currently being negotiated in the European Parliament and Council, on veterinary medicines and on medicated feed.

The animal health law is part of a package of measures proposed by the Commission in May 2013 to strengthen the enforcement of health and safety standards for the whole agri-food chain. It is the biggest and the first of those to get the approval of the co-legislators. The animal health law is also a key output of the Animal Health Strategy 2007-2013, “Prevention is better than cure”.

**EU Plant Health legislation**

**Directive 2000/29/EC** lists certain harmful organisms that may be targeted by specific control measures if they are:

- listed in annexes I and II (Part A, Section I) and found in the EU for the first time;
- listed in annexes I and II (Part A, Section II) and found in an EU country where their presence was previously unknown.

Legal basis: Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Article 37.

Specific control measures may also be targeted at other harmful organisms previously unknown to occur in the EU and which are not listed in the annexes of Directive 2000/29/EC but are of potential economic importance.

If a harmful organism is found in the EU, the country concerned must:

- notify the Commission and the other EU countries;
- eradicate or prevent the spread of the harmful organism.

If there is an imminent danger of introduction or spread of a harmful organism, an EU country should state the control measures it would like to see taken and may temporarily take additional national control measures.

Temporary (emergency) control measures may be taken by the EU if the danger comes from consignments of plants, plant products or other objects originating from countries outside the EU.


Legal basis: Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 43(2)
Agriculture and climate change

The combination of the above legislations are helping to keep the EU green going into 2020, however, the EU also has an Environment Action Programme to 2020.

Over the past decades, and as detailed above, the European Union has put in place a broad range of environmental legislation. As a result, air, water and soil pollution has significantly been reduced. Chemicals legislation has been modernised and the use of many toxic or hazardous substances has been restricted. Today, EU citizens enjoy some of the best water quality in the world and over 18% of EU's territory has been designated as protected areas for nature.

The 7th Environment Action Programme (EAP) will be guiding European environment policy until 2020.

Waste

In line with this the 7th Environment Action Programme sets the following priority objectives for waste policy in the EU.

A. Framework waste legislation


Regulation (EC) No 1013/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 June 2006 on shipments of waste. This Regulation specifies under which conditions waste can be shipped between countries.

Legal basis: Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Article 175(1)

Decision 2000/532/EC establishing a list of wastes. This Decision establishes the classification system for wastes, including a distinction between hazardous and non-hazardous wastes. It is closely linked to the list of the main characteristics which render waste hazardous contained in Annex III to the Waste Framework Directive above.

Legislative changes concerning the list of waste and hazardousness properties (applicable from 1 June 2015):


**Council Directive 96/61/EC** concerning integrated pollution prevention and control

Legal basis: Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Article 130s (1)


Legal basis: Treaty establishing the European Economic Community, and in particular Articles 100 and 235.


Legal basis: Treaty establishing the European Economic Community, and in particular Articles 100 and 235.


Legal basis: Treaty establishing the European Economic Community, and in particular Article 100.

**Waste Management:**


Legal basis: Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Article 175(1)

Legal basis: Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Article 80(2)


Legal basis: Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Article 130s(1)

Ancillary legislation relating to landfill of waste:

Commission Decision of 17 November 2000 concerning a questionnaire for Member States reports on the implementation of Directive 1999/31/EC on the landfill of waste


More specific areas of waste legislation.

Health cover

As an EU citizen, an individual is entitled to any medical treatment that can't wait until they get home. They have the same rights to health care as people insured in the country you are in.

An individual should always take their European Health Insurance Card (EHIC) with them as proof that they are insured in an EU country. Alternatively if an individual does not have this card then they may have to pay for your treatment upfront and claim reimbursement once you get home.

EU legislation

EU Regulation laying down the procedure for implementing Regulation 883/2004 on the coordination of social security systems

EU Directive on the application of patients' rights in cross-border healthcare

EU Regulation on the coordination of social security systems

Higher education

An individual has the right to live in an EU country where they are studying for the duration of their studies if:

They are enrolled in an approved educational establishment

They have sufficient income, from any source, to live without needing income support
They have comprehensive health insurance cover there.

EU legislation

Directive on the right of citizens of the Union and their family members to move and reside freely within the territory of the Member States

Right to move and reside freely within the EU

Funding:

Between 2014 and 2020, the EU will provide almost €80bn in funding for research, mainly through its flagship research programme Horizon 2020. This funding usually takes the form of grants, to part-finance a broad range of research projects.

Funding opportunities under Horizon 2020 are set out in multiannual work programmes, which cover the large majority of support available. The work programmes are prepared by the European Commission within the framework provided by the Horizon 2020 legislation and through a strategic programming process integrating EU policy objectives in the priority setting.

All of the legislation for Horizon 2020 can be found on the research portal.
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